UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/960,020	09/21/2001	Christopher McDowell	COD-133	7026
27777 7590 12/28/2006 PHILIP S. JOHNSON JOHNSON & JOHNSON ONE JOHNSON & JOHNSON PLAZA NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ 08933-7003			EXAMINER .	
			PICKETT, JOHN G	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3728	
	<u> </u>			
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
2 MONTHS		12/28/2006	PAPER	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.



Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

# BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Application Number: 09/960,020 Filing Date: September 21, 2001

Appellant(s): MCDOWELL, CHRISTOPHER

WAILED

DEC 2 8 2006

<sup>r</sup>roup 3700

Eugene L. Szczecina, Jr. For Appellant

**EXAMINER'S ANSWER** 

This is in response to the appeal brief filed 3 October 2006 appealing from the Office action mailed 12 September 2005.

#### (1) Real Party in Interest

A statement identifying by name the real party in interest is contained in the brief.

#### (2) Related Appeals and Interferences

The examiner is not aware of any related appeals, interferences, or judicial proceedings which will directly affect or be directly affected by or have a bearing on the Board's decision in the pending appeal.

#### (3) Status of Claims

The statement of the status of claims contained in the brief is correct.

#### (4) Status of Amendments After Final

The appellant's statement of the status of amendments after final rejection contained in the brief is correct.

#### (5) Summary of Claimed Subject Matter

The summary of claimed subject matter contained in the brief is correct.

### (6) Grounds of Rejection to be Reviewed on Appeal

The appellant's statement of the grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal is correct.

Application/Control Number: 09/960,020 Page 3

Art Unit: 3728

# (7) Claims Appendix

The copy of the appealed claims contained in the Appendix to the brief is correct.

#### (8) Evidence Relied Upon

US 5,968,044 Nicholson et al. 10-1999
US 6,098,802 Asa et al. 08-2000
US 5,873,462 Nguyen et al. 02-1999

## (9) Grounds of Rejection

The following ground(s) of rejection are applicable to the appealed claims:

Claims 1, 3, 6, 8, 9, 11, 12, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nicholson et al (US 5,968,044) in view of Asa et al (US 6,098,802) and Nguyen et al (US 5,873,462).

Regarding claim 1, Nicholson et al discloses a surgical fastener (**10**, **34**, and **70**) in the form of a disposable portion (**100**, see Col. 16, lines 2-10). Nicholson et al suggests placement of the surgical fasteners in a sterile tray (see for example, Col. 3, lines 37-38) but does not disclose the structure of said tray.

Both Asa et al and Nguyen et al disclose trays for the sterile storage of disposable portions (see for example, Asa Col. 1 line 17, and Nguyen Col. 2, lines 54-56).

Asa et al discloses a tray **10** with a base **12**, a plurality of wells **18**, a plurality of articles **P** disposed in the wells **18**, and a film **30**. Asa et al teaches the isolated wells to prevent cross-contamination.

Nguyen et al discloses tray **10** with a base **30**, a plurality of wells **31**, a plurality of articles **100** disposed in the wells **31**, and a film **150**. Nguyen et al anticipates scoring of the film **150** to allow for the controlled breaking of the film (see for example, Col. 3, lines 57-63).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the disposable surgical fastener assemblies of Nicholson et al in a tray as taught by either Asa et al or Nguyen et al in order to provide for independent dispensing of the fasteners.

The assembly of Nicholson-Nguyen discloses the claimed invention.

The assembly of Nicholson-Asa discloses the claimed invention except for the weakness in the film. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the film of Nicholson-Asa with scoring as taught by Nguyen et al in order to control the breakage of the film.

As to claim 3, the assemblies of Nicholson-Asa-Nguyen and Nicholson-Nguyen disclose scoring (see Nguyen, Col. 3, lies 57-63).

As to claim 6, the assemblies of Nicholson-Asa-Nguyen and Nicholson-Nguyen disclose scoring (see Nguyen, Col. 3, lies 57-63) as an ingress means.

Application/Control Number: 09/960,020

Art Unit: 3728

Regarding claims 8 and 9, both of the assemblies of Nicholson-Asa-Nguyen and Nicholson-Nguyen, as applied to claim 1, disclose the provision of the tray, the provision of the fastener, the covering of the well, and the modification of the film by presentation.

As to the sterilization, both Asa et al and Nguyen et al disclose sterilization (see for example, Asa, Col. 5 line 65 to Col. 6, line 7, and Nguyen Col. 3, lines 46-50) and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include this feature for the assemblies either of Nicholson-Asa-Nguyen or Nicholson-Nguyen since the fasteners of Nicholson-Asa-Nguyen and Nicholson-Nguyen are to be used in a surgical procedure.

As to the penetration of the film, both Asa et al and Nguyen et al disclose accessing the articles by penetration of the film with an instrument (see Asa Figure 6, and Nguyen, Col. 3, lines 57-67).

As to claims 11 and 12, the assemblies of Nicholson-Asa-Nguyen and Nicholson-Nguyen disclose scoring (see Nguyen, Col. 3, lies 57-63).

As to claim 16, both Asa et al and Nguyen et al disclose accessing the articles by penetration of the film with an instrument (see Asa Figure 6, and Nguyen, Col. 3, lines 57-67). Both Asa et al and Nguyen et al form assemblies with the articles and instruments, which are necessary for their intended use. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to penetrate the film of the assemblies of Nicholson-Asa-Nguyen or Nicholson-Nguyen with the applier (Nicholson 120) as taught by both Asa et al and Nguyen et al in order to form an assembly for the application of the fastener.

#### (10) Response to Argument

Appellant asserts that Nicholson makes no mention whatsoever of the tray holding the bone fasteners and therefore there is no need to provide a plurality of wells or a weakened film. This argument is believed to be without merit. At column 3, lines 25-30, Nicholson describes the kit as including an expandable member and insertion element. At column 4, lines 62-65, Nicholson defines a bone fastener as including an insertion element and expandable member. At column 3, lines 37-38, Nicholson suggests the kit encased in a sterile tray. It is clear that Nicholson intends for the fasteners to be provided within the tray.

At column 16, lines 2-10, Nicholson discloses a disposable cartridge that is best viewed in Figure 23. The cartridge is identified as item 100; the expandable member is identified as item 10; the insertion element is identified as item 34; and the holding means is identified as item 70. As previously noted, Nicholson defines the bone fastener as including an insertion element and expandable member. As viewed in Figure 23, the disposable cartridge 100 is applied to an instrument 120 for application of the fastener (see for example column 16, lines 40-42). The entire assembly is defined as a kit and Nicholson suggests the kit be placed in a sterile tray. Appellant's assertion that they find no language in Nicholson that suggests the fastener within the tray is contrary to the direct recitations of the reference as cited above.

Further, Appellant uses open claim language. The fact that Nicholson discloses additional items within the tray that are not claimed by the appellant is irrelevant.

Application/Control Number: 09/960,020

Art Unit: 3728

Appellant's entire argument is based on the presumption that Nicholson does not

Page 7

suggest the kit or cartridge holding the fastener and therefore there is no need for the

provision of the remaining claimed subject matter. As noted above, Nicholson defines a

bone fastener as including an insertion element and expandable member (see column

4, lines 62-65). Nicholson describes the kit as including an expandable member and

insertion element. Nicholson suggests the kit encased in a sterile tray. It is clear that

Nicholson intends for the fasteners to be provided within the tray.

For these reasons, it is believed that the rejections should be sustained.

(11) Related Proceeding(s) Appendix

No decision rendered by a court or the Board is identified by the examiner in the

Related Appeals and Interferences section of this examiner's answer.

For the above reasons, it is believed that the rejections should be sustained.

Respectfully submitted,

J. Gregory Pickett

Examiner

Conferees:

Mickey Yu

SPE

Luan Bui

**Primary Examiner**